

# Transformers - Mathematical derivation.

From the paper Attention is all you need, Vaswani et al. 2017

Input:  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .  $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ .

## ① Multi-head attention

Attention vectors are computed from each input  $x_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , and independently for each "head"  $h, 1 \leq h \leq H$ .

$$\text{Keys : } k_h(x_i) = W_{h,k}^T x_i$$

$$\text{queries : } q_h(x_i) = W_{h,q}^T x_i$$

$$\text{values : } v_h(x_i) = W_{h,v}^T x_i$$

## ② Attention weights

For all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n, 1 \leq h \leq H$ ,

$$\alpha_h(i,j) = \text{Softmax}\left(\left\{q_h(x_i) K_h(x_j)\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}\right)$$

$$\text{where } \text{Softmax}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{1}{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} e^{z_i}} (e^{z_1}, \dots, e^{z_n}).$$

## ③ Mixture of Values

$$\text{Define for all } 1 \leq i \leq n \quad u_i = \sum_{h=1}^H W_{u,h}^T \underbrace{\left( \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_h(i,j) v_h(x_j) \right)}_{\text{Mixture of values for each input}}$$

Layer normalization of the  $(u_i)$ .

$$u_i \leftarrow \text{Layer norm}(u_i + x_i)$$

## ④ Outputs.

$$\text{For all } 1 \leq i \leq n \quad z_i = W_{z,1}^T \sigma\left(W_{z,2}^T u_i\right)$$

Layer normalization of the  $(z_i)$ .

$$z_i \leftarrow \text{Layer norm}(z_i + u_i)$$

$$\text{Layer normalization of a vector } (v_1, \dots, v_n) = v: \quad v \leftarrow \beta_1 \frac{v - \mu_v}{\text{empirical std}} + \beta_2 \frac{v - \mu_v}{\text{empirical mean}}$$

Steps 1 to 4 provide a Regression function  $T_0: (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ .

In practice, a Transformer network is given by:  $T_{\theta_L} \circ \dots \circ T_{\theta_1}$ !

## ⑤ Positional encoding.

Inputs are considered as unordered vectors to compute and assign attention weights. If input data are sequential (i.e.  $i$  refers to a time index), several

additional positional encodings have been considered.

one-hot:  $x_i \leftarrow (x_i, e_i)^T$   $e_i$ ,  $i$ -th canonical vector of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Sinusoidal:  $p_{k,2i} = \sin\left(\frac{k}{g^{2i/d}}\right)$ ;  $p_{k,2i+1} = \cos\left(\frac{k}{g^{2i/d}}\right)$   
 $z = W^T \sigma(W^T u) + p$ .

## (6) Connection to RNN - Time Series.

Next session!

## Transformers for time series - Similarities with "LSTM"

From the paper LSTM as a dynamically computed element-wise weighted sum, Levy et al. (2018).

Long short term memory (1997, LSTM) are very popular networks to perform prediction for time series.

In this case, the data  $(x_t)_{t \geq 0}$  are sequential and  $t$  stands for time.

The prediction of a new data is based on intermediate representation  $\{(c_t, h_t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  computed recursively:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}_t &= \sigma(w_1 h_{t-1} + w_2 x_t) && \parallel \text{Content layer} \\ i_t &= \sigma(w_3 h_{t-1} + w_4 x_t) && \parallel \\ f_t &= \sigma(w_5 h_{t-1} + w_6 x_t) && \parallel \text{Memory layer} \\ (\star) \quad c_t &= i_t \tilde{c}_t + f_t c_{t-1} && \parallel \\ o_t &= \sigma(w_7 h_{t-1} + w_8 x_t) && \parallel \text{output layer} \\ h_t &= o_t \sigma(c_t) && \parallel\end{aligned}$$

Recursive formulation of (\*):  $c_t = \sum_{j=0}^t i_j \left( \prod_{k=j+1}^t f_k \right) \tilde{c}_j$

Proof: Assume that the result holds at  $t$ .

$$\begin{aligned} c_{t+1} &= i_{t+1} \tilde{c}_{t+1} + f_{t+1} c_t \\ &= i_{t+1} \tilde{c}_{t+1} + f_{t+1} \sum_{j=0}^t i_j \left( \prod_{k=j+1}^t f_k \right) \tilde{c}_j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{t+1} i_j \left( \prod_{k=j+1}^{t+1} f_k \right) \tilde{c}_j \end{aligned}$$

of the form  $\sum_{j=0}^{t+1} w_j^{t+1} \tilde{c}_j$

In Lévy et al., authors simplified a bit the LSTM to understand the element-wise weighted sum.

Assume to simplify that

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{c}_t = \sigma(w_1 x_t) \\ i_t = \sigma(w_2 x_t) \\ f_t = \sigma(w_3 x_t) \end{cases}$$

Then,  $c_t = \sum_{j=0}^t i_j \left( \prod_{k=j+1}^t f_k \right) \tilde{c}_j$

: Element-wise weighted sum of featureized inputs.

Reminder for the Transformers:

$$\begin{aligned} u_i &= \sum_{h=1}^H W_{u,h}^T \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_h(i,j) v_h(x_j) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_h(i,j) v_h(x_j) = \sum_{j=1}^t \tilde{\alpha}(i,j) v_h(x_j) \end{aligned}$$

↑  
rank future values.

$H=1$   
 $W_{u,h} = \text{Id}$

Important difference: In LSTM,  $\|f_k\| \leq 1$  so that attention weights decrease (fast) in the past!